MCDR INSPECTION REPORT-2019

General

| S.N. | Particulars | Details |
|------|--|--|
| 1 | Name of inspecting office & designation, Accompanying officer | Shri Rajesh Kumar Das (Senior ACOM) Shri Rudra n Mishra (Senior Mining Geologist),Shri Vinayak Tiwari,DGM, Geology(Inspection is done for approval of mining plan. |
| 2 | Date of inspection | 31.10.19 |
| 3 | Name of the Mine | Bailadila Iron Ore Mines, Kirandul |
| 4 | Total Lease Area (Ha) with breakup of Non-forest and | Complex, DEP-11 ML Forest Land: 874.924HA |
| " | forest land | Non Forest Land: NIL |
| 5 | Mine code | 30CHG03002 |
| 6 | IBM Registration Number under rule 45 of MCDR, 1988 | IBM/270/2011 |
| 7 | Name of the Lease, Address, Phone, email and fax number | NMDC Ltd, Masab Tank, Hyderabad, PIN-500028, Telangana. pksatpathy@nmdc.co.in Fax no: 040-27172569, Phone 040-23538713-21,23538723 & 23538767 |
| 8 | Village | Kirandul |
| 9 | Taluka/Mandal, District | Bade Bacheli, Dantewada |
| 10 | State | Chhattisgarh |
| 11 | Post Office& PIN | Kirandul, 494556 |
| 12 | Nearest Police Station | Kirandul |
| 13 | Nearest Railway Station | Kirandul |
| 14 | Date of Grant of Mining Lease | 27/12/1966 |
| 15 | Date of Execution | 12/09/1967 |
| 16 | Date of opening of Mine | In the year 1987 |
| 17 | Date of First Renewal, if applicable and its period & expiry | 12/09/1997, 20Years, Expiry-11/09/2017 |
| 18 | Date of Second Renewal, if applicable and its period & expiry | Second renewal on 03-05-2018 Period from 11.09.2017 to 10.09.2037 |
| 19 | Date of submission of renewal application if Mining Operations are continuing under deemed extension | NA |
| 20 | Name of the Nominated Owner with Address, phone, email, fax number and date of appointment | Shri P.K.Satpathy Director (Prodn.), NMDC Ltd, Masab Tank, Hyderabad, PIN-500028, Telangana. pksatpathy@nmdc.co.in Fax no: 040-27172569, Phone No: 040- 23538701, 23538704. DOA:13/12/2014 |
| 21 | Name of the Mine Agent with Address, phone, email, fax number and date of appointment | Shri A.K.Prajapati, General Manager, NMDC Ltd, Kirandul, B.I.O.M. Kirandul Complex, Dantewada PIN-494556, Chhattisgarh, akprajapati@nmdc.co.in Fax no: 07857-255227, Phone 07857-255222 |

| | | DOA:30/09/2018 |
|----|---|---|
| 22 | Name of the Mine Manager with Address, phone, | Shri Vinay Kumar, Jt.General Manager, |
| | email, fax number and date of appointment in mines | NMDC Ltd, Kirandul, B.I.O.M. |
| | | Kirandul Complex, Dantewada |
| | | PIN-494556, Chhattisgarh, |
| | | Fax no: 07857-255226, |
| | | Phone 07857-255232 |
| | | DOA:30/09/2018 |
| 23 | Name of the Mining Engineer, Qualification and total | Shri Sunil Kumar, Dy.General |
| | experience with address, phone, email, fax number and | Manager, B.Tech (Mining) FCC ©, |
| | date of appointment in the mine | Experience: 20 Years Approximately |
| | | NMDC Ltd, Kirandul, B.I.O.M. |
| | | Kirandul Complex, Dantewada |
| | | PIN-494556, Chhattisgarh, |
| | | DOA:03/05/2017 |
| 24 | Whether Geologist and Mining Engineer appointed in | Yes, appointed under Rule-55 and carrying |
| | mines satisfy the rule 55 & carrying out their duties as | out duties as per rule 56 & 57 of MCDR- |
| | per rule 56 & 57 of MCDR, 2017. | 2017. |
| 25 | Date of Approval of Mine Plan/Modified Mine Plan | Vide Letter No: 314(3)/96- MCCM © /MP-7, |
| | with five- year period and specific condition in approval letter, if any. | Dated: 23.07.1997. Vide Letter No: 314(3)/2005 MCCM (cz) |
| | approvar retter, if any. | /MP-7, Dated: 15.10.2005. |
| | | Vide Letter No: Dantewada/FE/MPLN- |
| | | 1055/2016/Raipur/724, Dated:09.12.2016 |
| | | Vide Letter No: Dantewada /Fe/Khanij- |
| | | 1203/2018/-Raipur/72 dated 08-05-2019 for |
| | | period 01.04.2019 to 31.03.2020 |
| 26 | Date of Approval of Scheme of Mining/Modified | Vide Letter No: 314(3)/2007 – MCCM (CZ) |
| | Scheme of Mining with five- year period and specific | /S-7, Dated:29.11.2007 |
| | condition in approval letter, if any. | Vide Letter No: 314(3)/2012 – MCCM (CZ) |
| 27 | Minary (a) amount of in large and amount of C. M | /MS-18, Dated:11.03.2013 |
| 27 | Mineral(s) granted in lease and approved for Mining | Hematite |
| 28 | Method of Mining (Opencast, Underground) | Opencast |
| 29 | Category (Fully Mechanised, Others or Manual) | Fully Mechanised |
| 30 | Captive/Non-Captive | Non-Captive |
| 31 | Bank guarantee validity | Amount Rs 262477200/- upto 31.03.2020 |

Scientific Mining: Compliance of proposal of approved mining plan/scheme of mining.

1.0 Exploration

| S.N. | Item | Proposals | Actual Work | Remarks |
|------|---|---|--|---------|
| 1a | Backlog of previous year | NIL | NIL | |
| 1b | Exploration over lease area for Geological axis 1 or 2. | 22BH/1750Mts | 24BH/1995 Mts | |
| 1c | Exploration Agency & Expenditure in Lakh Rupees during the year | Applicant itself is the exploration Agency. | Applicant itself is the exploration Agency. Expenditure during 2018-19:211.69 Lakh | |
| 1d | Balance area to be explored to bring Geological axis in 1 or 2. | G3 level = 346.22 Ha G4 level = 44.886 Ha Non mineralised area = 223.007 Ha | | |
| 1e | Balance reserves as on 01.04.2019 | Code Quantity | | |

| | | A. Mi | ineral Reserve | | |
|----|--------------------------------------|---|---------------------------|------------------------|--------------|
| | | 111 | 246.23 | | |
| | | 122 | 80.45 | | |
| | | B. Re | maining Resources | | |
| | | 211 | 46.06 | | |
| | | 221 | - | | |
| | | 222 | 33.00 | | |
| | | 331 | 372.74 | | |
| | | 332 | 33.00 | | |
| | | 333 | 212.04 | | |
| | | 334 | • | | |
| | | | 1+121+122+211 | | |
| | | 332=22 | | | |
| 1f | General remarks of Inspecting | As men | ntioned above the balance | ce area for exploratio | n. Bailadila |
| | officer on geology, exploration etc. | hills re | presents the type area | of southern most par | rt of Kotri- |
| | | Dongagarh orogen of Bastar craton known as Bailadila | | | |
| | | group. The Strike direction of Bailadila group are N-S trending | | | |
| | | and dip direction NW to NE subvertical folded meta | | | |
| | | sedime | ntary bedded formations | • | |

2.0 Development

| | 2.0 Development | | | | |
|-----|--|---|--------------------------|----------|--|
| S.N | Item | Proposals | Actual Work | Remarks | |
| • | | | | | |
| 2a | Location of Development w.r.t. | Dep-11A North Block CS12 | The development is | | |
| | lease area | to CS19 W 250 M to E100, | broadly within | | |
| | | South Block CS21 to CS25 | proposed area in 11B | | |
| | | W 300 M to E100. | and 11C. There is no | | |
| | | Dep-11B CS3 to CS14,W | work done in 11 A | | |
| | | 400M to E300M w.r.t. CAL | due to safety reason | | |
| | | Dep-11C SW of CS -1 to | | | |
| | | CS7,W100 to E400 wrt CAL | | | |
| 2b | Separate benches in topsoil | Separate benches in overburden | Separate benches in | Top | |
| | overburden and mineral (Rule 13 of | and mineral | overburden and | soil not | |
| | MCDR 2017) | | mineral | availa | |
| | , | | | ble | |
| 2c | Stripping ratio or ore to OB ratio | 1:0.21 | 1:0.08 | | |
| 2d | Quantity of topsoil generation in m ³ | Top soil is not available | Top soil is not | | |
| | | | available | | |
| 2e | Quantity of overburden generationin | 374074 | 96816 | | |
| | m ³ | | | | |
| 2f | General remarks of Inspecting | The development is broadly w | ithin proposed area only | the 11A | |
| | officer on development of pit w.r.t | area as proposed not worked due to safety reason. | | | |
| | type of deposit etc. | | | | |

3.0 Exploitation

| 5.0 Exploitation | | | | |
|------------------|---|---------------|---------------|---------|
| S.N. | Item | Proposals | Actual Work | Remarks |
| 3a | Number of pits proposed for production | Pit-3 | Pit-3 | |
| 3b | Quantity of ROM mineral production proposed | 4800000 Tonne | 3468280 Tonne | |
| 3c | Recovery of Salable/usable mineral from ROM production | 100 % | 85 % | |
| 3d | Quantity of mineral reject generation | NIL | NIL | |
| 3e | Grade of mineral reject generation and threshold value declared | - | - | |
| 3f | Quantity of sub-grade mineral generation | 130000Tonne | 7000 Tonne | |

| 3g | Grade of sub-grade mineral generation | 45-55 Fe % | 45-55 Fe % |
|----|---------------------------------------|---|--|
| 3h | Manual/Mechanised method adopted | Mechanised | Mechanised |
| | for segregation from ROM | | |
| 3i | Any analysis or beneficiation study | No study Proposed | No study Proposed |
| | proposed & carried out for sub-grade | | |
| | mineral and reject | | |
| 3j | Provision of drilling & blasting in | As per Rule 106 (2)(b) | As per Rule 106 (2)(b) |
| | mineral benches | MMR-1961, doing | MMR-1961, doing |
| | | drilling and blasting | drilling and blasting |
| 3k | Provision of mining machineries in | As per Rule 106 | As per Rule 106 MMR- |
| | mineral benches | MMR-1961, | 1961, |
| 31 | Whether height of benches in | Bench Height:12Mtr | Bench Height:12Mtr |
| | overburden and mineral suitable for | and Over Burden | and Over Burden Bench |
| | method of mining proposed in | Bench Height: 30Mtr | Height: 30Mtr |
| | MP/SOM | | |
| 3m | Total area covered under excavation | 94.590 HA | 94.590 HA |
| | /pits | | |
| 3n | Ore to OB ratio for the pit/mine | 1:0.21 | 1:0.08 |
| | during the year | | |
| 30 | Total area put in use under different | 212.637 HA | 212.637 HA |
| | heads at the end of year | | |
| 3p | Production of ROM mineral during | 2014-15: 8300000Tonne | 2014-15: 1619006 Tonne |
| | last five-years period, as Applicable | 2015-16: 9300000Tonne 2016-17: 9300000 Tonne | 2015-16: 2319706 Tonne 2016-17: 1310508 Tonne |
| | | 2017-18:3800000 Tonne | 2016-17: 1310308 Tonne 2017-18:2618285 Tonne |
| | | 2018-19: 4800000 Tonne | 2018-19: 3468280 Tonne |
| 3q | General remarks of inspecting officer | The method of mining i | s broadly as per proposal by opencast |
| | on method of mining etc | | deep hole drilling and blasting. |

4.0 Solid Waste Management-Dumping

| S.N. | Item | Proposals | Actual Work | Remarks |
|------|--|----------------|-----------------------|---------|
| 4a | Separate dumping of topsoil, OB & | OB :3 NOS | OB: 3 NOS | |
| | mineral reject (Rule 36, 37 of MCDR | | | |
| | 2017) | | | |
| 4b | Location of topsoil, OB & mineral reject | Dep-11A | Dep-11A CS18 to | |
| | dumps | CS18 to | CS24 Southern side | |
| | | CS24Southern | | |
| | | side | Dep-11B Western side | |
| | | Dep-11B | CS6 to CS10, 200 M | |
| | | Western side | to 500 M w.r.t. CAL | |
| | | CS6 to CS10, | | |
| | | 200 M to 500 | | |
| | | M w.r.t. CAL | Dep-11C SW of CS 14 | |
| | | Dep-11C SW | to CS18, -300 to -700 | |
| | | of CS 14 to | w.r.t CAL | |
| | | CS18, - 300 to | | |
| | | -700 w.r.t | | |
| | | CAL | | |
| 4c | Number of Dumps within lease area and | 3 NOS with in | 3 NOS with in lease | |
| | outside lease area | lease area | area | |
| | | | | |
| | | | | |
| 4d | Location of Dumps w.r.t. ultimate pit | Out Side UPL | Out Side UPL | |
| | limit (Rule 14 of MCDR 2017) | | | |
| 4e | Number of Active & Alive dumps | Active & | Active & Alive : 3 | |
| | | Alive : 3 NOS | NOS | |
| 4f | Number of dead dumps | NIL | NIL | |
| 4g | Number of dumps stabilized | NIL | NIL | |

| 4h | Whether Retaining wall or garland drain | Yes | Constructed/Repaired. | |
|----|---|--|------------------------------------|--|
| | all along dumps are there | | | |
| 4i | Length of Retaining wall or garland | 200Mtrs | 132MtrsRetaining wall | |
| | drain all along dump | | / Buttress wall | |
| | | | constructed along the | |
| | | | bottom of the dump. | |
| 4j | Number of settling ponds | 03 Nos | 03Nos | |
| 4k | Specific commends of inspecting officer | The waste dum | p management is not proper and the | |
| | on waste dump management | retaining wall also not constructed as per proposal. | | |

5.0 Solid Waste Management-Backfilling

| S.N. | Item | Proposals | Actual Work | Remarks |
|------|--|-----------------|-------------------|---------|
| 5a | Status on part or full extraction of mineral | | | |
| | from mined out area before starting | Back Filling | Back Filling | |
| | backfilling | not proposed | not proposed | |
| 5b | Area under backfilling of mined out area | Back Filling | Back Filling | |
| | | not proposed | not proposed | |
| 5c | Concurrent use of topsoil for restoration or | | | |
| | rehabilitation of mined out area (Rule 36) | Top soil | Topsoil not | |
| | | storage not | available | |
| | | proposed | | |
| 5d | Total area fully reclaimed & rehabilitated | Reclaimed & | Reclaimed & | |
| | | Rehabilitated | Rehabilitated | |
| | | not proposed | not available | |
| 5e | General remarks of inspecting officer on | No such proposa | l of backfilling. | |
| | backfilling, reclamation etc | | | |

<u>6.0 Progressive Mine Closer Plan</u>

| S.N | Item | Proposals | Actual Work | Remarks |
|-----|--|--|--|-----------------------------------|
| 6a | Whether Annual report on PMCP submitted on time and correctly-Rule 26(2) of MCDR 2017. Details should be given in the format as given in Annexure-20 | For 2018-19 PMCP proposed | PMCP compliance report submitted | |
| 6b | Management of worked/mined out benches i) Area available for rehabilitation (Ha) ii) Afforestation done (ha) iii) No.of saplings planted during the year iv) Cumulative no.of plants v) Any other specific method ofrehabilitation vi) Cost incurred on watch & care during the year | Not proposed within Mining Lease | All along the Safety Zone 5000 plantation | |
| 6c | Compliance on reclamation and rehabilitation by backfilling i) Voids available for backfilling (L*B*D) ii) Void filled by waste/tailings iii) Afforestation on the backfilling iv) Rehabilitation by making water reservoir v) Any other specific means | Nil | PMCP report submitted | Area not matured for backfillin g |
| 6d | Compliance of Rehabilitation of waste land within lease | As per PMCP | PMCP report submitted | The lease area is |

| | i) Afforestation ii) Area rehabilitation iii) Method of rehabilitation | | | forest area and already full of natural afforestati on. |
|----|--|------------------|-----------|---|
| 6e | Compliance of Environment monitoring (core zone & buffer zone) | As per PMCP | Complied. | |
| 6f | General remarks of inspecting officer on PMCP compliance & progressive closer operations | Broadly complied | | |

7.0 Mineral Conservation

| S.N. | Item | Proposals | Actual Work Remarks | |
|------|---|--|---------------------|--|
| 7a | ROM mineral dispatch or grade wise | Above 55 Fe% | Above 55 Fe% | |
| | sorting within lease area | | | |
| 7b | Method of grade-wise mineral sorting | mechanical | mechanical | |
| | i.e. manual or mechanical | | | |
| 7c | Different grade of mineral sort out at mine | Below 55 Fe%, | Below 55 Fe%, | |
| | | 55 to 58 Fe%, | 55 to 58 Fe%, | |
| | | 58 to 60 Fe%, | 58 to 60 Fe%, | |
| | | 60 to 62 Fe%, | 60 to 62 Fe%, | |
| | | 62 to 65 Fe% | 62 to 65 Fe% | |
| | | 65% and Above | 65% and Above | |
| 7d | Any beneficiation process at mines | No such | Beneficiation | |
| | | beneficiation | processes doing at | |
| | | except crushing | SP-1 and SP-2 | |
| | | and screening. | screening plant | |
| 7e | General remarks of inspecting officer on | No such beneficiation except crushing and screening. | | |
| | Mineral conservation & beneficiation | | | |
| | issues | | | |

8.0 Environment

| S.N. | Item | Proposals | Actual Work | Remarks |
|------|---|---------------|--------------------|-------------------|
| 8a | Separate removal and utilization of topsoil | Top soil not | Top soil not | No top soil exist |
| | (Rule32) | available | available | |
| 8b | Concurrent use or storage of topsoil | Top soil not | Top soil not | No top soil exist |
| | | available | available | |
| 8c | Separate dumps for overburden waste rock, | OB dump | As per Proposed | |
| | rejects and fines (Rule 33) | proposed | waste dump | |
| | | | available | |
| 8d | Use of overburden, waste rock, rejects and | Not Proposed | NA | |
| | fines dumps for restoring the land to its | | | |
| | original use | | | |
| 8e | Phased restoration, reclamation and | Not proposed | NA | |
| | rehabilitation of lands affected by mining | as no voids | | |
| | operations (Pits, dumps etc) | available for | | |
| | | R&R | | |
| 0.0 | | 37 . 1 | 7 0 0 | |
| 8f | Baseline information on existence of | Not proposed | Lease Safety zone | |
| | plantation & additional plantation done | | area. | |
| _ | (Rule 44 of MCDR 2017) | | 2 - 2 / | |
| 8g | Survival rate | 100 % | 85% | |
| 8h | Water sprinkling on roads to control | As per | Water sprinkling | |
| | airborne dust | proposal | on road to control | |
| | | | the air bore dust, | |

| | | Wet Drilling. | |
|----|--|---|--|
| 8i | General remarks of inspecting officer on | No adverse impact observed during mine visit due to | |
| | aesthetic beauty in and around mine area | mining on aesthetic beauty. | |

9.0 Compliance of Rule 45

| S.N | Item | Commen | ts | Remarks |
|-----|---|---|---|----------------------|
| 9a | Status of submission of monthly and Annual returns | Monthly Return Form F1 for the submitted and Annual Return submitted | | |
| S.N | Item | Details given in A.R. | Observation of I/Officer | Remarks |
| 9b | Scrutiny of Annual return for information on Mining Engineer, Geologist and Manager | MiningEngineer: Sunil Kumar, Geologist: Ritayan Goswami Mines Manager: Vinay Kumar | | |
| 9c | Scrutiny of Annual return on land use pattern for area under pits, reclaimed area, dumps etc. | Mining:94.59 Ha Infrastructure:71.989Ha Overburden:46.058Ha | Mining:94.59 Ha Infrastructure:71.989H a Overburden:46.058Ha | |
| 9d | Scrutiny of Annual return on afforestation | 5000 nos saplings reported | Total area is forest area | |
| 9e | Scrutiny of Annual return on mineral reject generation (Grade & Quantity) | 20000 MT .Grade 40.8 Fe% | | |
| 9f | Scrutiny of Annual return on ROM stock and/or grade ore | ROM stock Closing Balance:85518Tonne | ROM stock Closing Balance:85518Tonne | |
| 9g | Scrutiny of Annual return on sale value, Ex-Mine price & production costs | Ex-Mine price: Lump 65% and above- Rs 3834.28/-,sale price-Rs4082/-, Fines: 62% to below 65-Rs 3236.36/-, sale price-Rs3513/-, Fines: above 65-Rs 3555/-, sale price-Rs3843/-, Production Cost: Rs 1202.91/-PMT | As per H1 | |
| 9h | Scrutiny of Annual return on Fixed assets | Rs. 5,43,07,43,282/- | Rs. 5,43,07,43,282/- | For kirandul complex |
| 9i | Scrutiny of Annual return on mining Machineries | Given in Annual Return Form- H1 | Drill m/c 100mm,152mm,254m m dia., Shovel 8 cum bucket capacity, Dumper 60 & 100 tons capacity, Dozer, Grader, etc. | |

^{10.} Details of Validations observed during current inspection and compliance position of earlier violation pointed out: - The earlier inspection is broadly complied and during present inspection few deviation is observed in protective measures in dump and working but the same is incorporated in Review of Mining Plan for which inspection was done.